

MINUTES  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF YORK

Regular Meeting  
March 7, 2023

6:00 p.m.

Meeting Convened. A Regular Meeting of the York County Board of Supervisors was called to order at 6:01 p.m., Tuesday, March 7, 2023, in the East Room, York Hall, by Chairman Thomas G. Shepperd, Jr.

Attendance. The following members of the Board of Supervisors were present: Walter C. Zaremba, Sheila S. Noll, W. Chad Green, G. Stephen Roane, Jr., and Thomas G. Shepperd, Jr.

Also in attendance were Neil A. Morgan, County Administrator; Mark L. Bellamy, Jr., Deputy County Administrator; Brian Fuller, Assistant County Administrator; Richard E. Hill, Jr., County Attorney Designee; and Diane Ingram, Senior Administrative Coordinator.

**WORK SESSION**

Retreat Follow-Up

Mr. Neil Morgan explained that the majority of his presentation tonight was shared with the Board at the January retreat, and all of the retreat presentations and follow-up memorandums were available for the citizens' review on the website. Since he will be providing his FY 2024 Budget recommendation at the next Board meeting, Mr. Morgan thought it would be appropriate to provide a presentation highlighting the following information provided at and subsequent to the retreat:

- FY 2022 year-end results, exclusive of ARPA (American Rescue Plan Act) surplus, include better than expected revenues primarily from consumer and personal property taxes.
- Some of that surplus was used to pay for the Personal Property Tax rebate, and the vast majority of the balance was placed in cash capital, including the Law Enforcement Building.

Chairman Shepperd asked Mr. Morgan, for the public's benefit, to provide the key points on the significance of using the surplus on capital projects.

Mr. Morgan stated that the Board committed to having a meaningful Capital Improvement Program where projects are completed and others are in the design phase. He added that the only way this can be done is to put cash into the CIP; otherwise, if the funds are borrowed to complete these projects, the CIP could not be sustained in the long term. He pointed out this strategy supports the importance of using surplus funds to pay for these projects which have enabled the County to have a sustainable CIP without a problematic debt trajectory.

Chairman Shepperd noted that the important thing is \$3.5 million was used to rebate citizens for their Personal Property taxes, and the remaining surplus was used to fund needed capital projects without going into additional debt.

Mr. Morgan stated that one of the follow-up memos to the Board after the retreat explained exactly how the rebate was calculated. He noted that the County's Finance Department was able to calculate quite specifically how much of the Personal Property Tax growth was budgeted versus what actually occurred, which was the result of the spike in values in the January 2022 Blue Book. As such, the Finance Department calculated that \$3.5 million of this tax revenue was

March 7, 2023

directly attributable to that spike in vehicle values. In addition, he pointed out that there was a \$1.6 million increase in Personal Property Tax revenues that was beyond what was budgeted, resulting from the purchase of new vehicles and payment of delinquent taxes from previous years.

Mr. Morgan then continued his presentation by providing the following information:

- A bar graph depicting the annual surplus over the past 12 years clearly showed that FY 2022 was unusually large due to an underestimation of consumer taxes, used car inflation, and the unanticipated economic impact of Federal COVID assistance. However, this was two budgets ago, and the County's projected surplus for FY 2023 is much closer to our historic average.
- The FY 2022 surplus from the consumer taxes was largely absorbed in the FY 2023 Budget to hire more firefighters and sheriff deputies; to give a significant compensation increase, and to fund an increase in the school contribution.
- County staff is currently talking about what may happen 12 to 14 months from now. That is exactly what the situation was in early 2021, and the County had no way of knowing what the future held.

Chairman Shepperd stated that in prior years when there were insufficient funds to cover the needed CIP projects, the Board would simply delay the projects. By doing so, he stated that the County wound up with deteriorating County and School buildings and facilities. He pointed out that the County now has a more prudent approach to budgeting by setting cash aside for needed capital projects.

Mr. Roane asked what the typical percentage of our overall budget is surplus.

In response, Mr. Morgan stated that a good result would be a two percent structural surplus equating to approximately \$4 million. However, he noted that it really should be a little bit better than that, perhaps three percent, because of the amount of cash we are placing in our CIP.

Mr. Morgan then continued his presentation by providing the following information:

- In FY 2022, we limited compensation and expenditures, and then the revenue performed well.
- In FY 2023, we were able to add the needed fire and law enforcement positions which we planned to do.
- We still do not know what FY 2024 will look like, but the County needs to keep the CIP strong and stay competitive with the tough labor market.

Mrs. Noll reminded the Board that when we went through the recession, the County chose not to cut employees or services to the citizens. However, she noted that the Board had to cut the CIP program so that we could take care of the schools' needs, our employees and our services to citizens. As such, she stated that we are now playing catch up on these projects as our facilities are aging.

Mr. Roane asked if our greatest competition in the labor market is from our neighboring jurisdictions or the private job market.

Mr. Morgan stated that it depends on the type of job. Certain jobs are local government specific such as firefighter, deputy, social services, etc. However, there are other positions that are in competition with both public and private sectors such as CDL drivers, Information Technology experts, construction workers, and others.

Mr. Morgan then continued his presentation by providing the following information:

- Surplus cash in the past three years has been used for:
  - \$6.3 million Law Enforcement Building;
  - \$4.3 million Fire Station Number 7;

- \$2.8 million in highway and transportation improvements;
  - \$2.5 million regional radio lifecycle; and
  - \$2.0 million records management system.
- Market supply disruptions caused used vehicle values to increase significantly in CY 2022. Although the increase in value was not uniform, a rebate was issued with the December 2022 tax bill.
  - The value of vehicles appears to be returning to the normal or average value. Based upon statistical analysis performed so far, any individual vehicle on average will be 1.7 percent higher in assessed value in January 2023 than it was in January 2021 on average. However, this does vary according to the type of vehicle and there will still be a few thousand vehicles whose value will be substantially higher.
  - Although the Commissioner of the Revenue has some discretion on how a vehicle is valued, they must use well-established national data as of January in the year in which they are assessed. The County's Commissioner of the Revenue always uses the lowest possible value for the vehicles.
  - Examples were provided for various vehicles depicting their respective December 2021 bill, June 2022 bill, and June 2023 bill, which shows that values and taxes are returning to normalcy.
  - Should the Board wish to make a change in the Personal Property Tax he recommended that we do something very straightforward, easy to understand, and explain.
  - Relative to FY 2024 revenues, real estate assessments remain low but with the upcoming reassessment year, they are expected to increase in the second tax cycle of 2024. Interest rates are going up, so in the upcoming year the County will be able to have a positive source of revenue from interest income. There is concern that consumer taxes will decline and also with the final closure of the Dominion facility in April, within the next year or 18 months the State Corporation Commission will tell us how much money the County will receive from Dominion Energy.
  - FY 2024 expenditure priorities include employee compensation, funding to the School Division, and capital contributions to sustain our CIP.
  - In response to the questions that arose at the retreat relative to the Williamsburg Regional Library, he stated that it was decided that the County would make an operating contribution because it was cheaper than constructing and operating a library. This remains true; however, if the usage trend continues it will not be long before the County may have to consider building our own library as the budget for the Regional Library is twice as much as one of our libraries. Also, the Regional Library has twice as many employees as one of our libraries, and when James City County decides to give the employees a raise it equates to more funding support required by York County. The statistics for the Regional Library were provided indicating that our two libraries efficiently and effectively operate with half as many employees as that of the Regional Library.

Mr. Zarembo asked what was the gross Personal Property Tax rebate and how did residents receive that rebate.

Mr. Morgan stated that the total rebate was \$3.5 million and eligible residents saw the rebate in their December 2022 Personal Property bill.

Chairman Shepperd asked about the \$7.8 million dollars relative to the Regional Library.

In response, Mr. Morgan stated that it is the Regional Library's operating budget and it is his hope that the County can develop a different funding model than what exists now. Also, he noted that Williamsburg is considering the construction of a new library in downtown Williamsburg which James City County must also consider. It has been suggested by York staff that if Williamsburg and James City County want York to be a participant, we could convert our operating contribution

into a capital contribution as long as the new library becomes an open library similar to the County and other neighboring localities. If accepted, the County would still be contributing approximately the same amount of money, but everyone would have access to the new facility. It will likely be some time before the situation clarifies.

#### Presentation of Water Street Design Concepts

Mr. Morgan provided a brief history of the Yorktown Pub and Larry's Lemonade restaurants. He stated that a discussion on the tents at the two restaurants began last summer, at which time everyone agreed that the tents were not really in character with the rest of Yorktown. At the same time, he added that everyone agreed not to shut them down but rather gave them permission to leave the tents up until late this upcoming summer. Meanwhile, it was also agreed that the County would try to develop an approach that would be acceptable to all of the stakeholders. As such, he explained that Mr. Earl Anderson, Planner II, worked with Economic and Tourism Development to select Hill Studio to do some design work on this project. Although most of our efforts have been on the water side of Water Street, the County realized it was time to look at the aesthetics on the landward side as well as pedestrian safety. He noted that the work performed is not an engineering study but rather design options which will be shared with the Board this evening to determine what they prefer and then channel into a series of public and private investments over the next few years. He explained that Mr. Granger (G-Square, Inc.), who owns both of the restaurant properties, has met with him and expressed enthusiasm for some of the ideas. Mr. Morgan stated that Mr. Granger even expressed some interest in possibly making a significant investment at the Yorktown Pub. He then turned the presentation over to Mr. Anderson and Mr. Greg Webster, a landscape architect with Hill Studios.

Mr. Anderson stated that he has been working closely with Mr. Matt Johnson, Deputy Director of Economic and Tourism Development, and Mr. Darren Williams, Chief of Waterfront Operations. He introduced Mr. Webster, with whom he has been working relative to developing options for the two restaurants. In addition, over the past few months, he had met a few times with all of the subject property owners, including the residential property owners and the church representatives on the bluff above the restaurant, Mrs. Beverly Krams; Yorktown Beach Hotel owner, Mr. Granger; and the two restaurant operators. In addition, he noted that two public sessions had also been held to obtain input, one on Main Street during Christmas and a Zoom meeting a couple of weeks ago. He then asked Mr. Webster to present some design alternatives for Board's consideration.

Mr. Webster stated that he had enjoyed working with staff over the last few months and noted that they had been working with WPL, a local engineering firm out of Virginia Beach; (VDOT) Virginia Department of Transportation; and utilizing current survey and traffic information. He stated that it had been a multi-part process in order to get comments from all of the stakeholders. During these public sessions, people were quite happy to give their comments and ideas on what they would like to see in this specific area. As such, he explained that the design schemes to be shared this evening are a direct reflection of the input they have received from the stakeholders and the public. Upon receiving input from the Board this evening, he stated that the plans would be revised and a final recommendation developed based on your comments this evening. When developing the plans, he stated that we considered the parking area relative to walking times to the restaurants; the Historic District guidelines; waterfront views from the restaurants; the 1993 Master Plan; and building materials in adjacent structures in order to provide continuity down Water Street. He then provided the following three scenarios for the Board's consideration:

- Two-Way Option
  - Keeps the current traffic circulation but inserts bump-ins or drop-offs to keep traffic moving smoothly.
  - Open views from restaurants with outdoor patios.
  - Outdoor dining with views over vehicles
  - Selective Screening.
  - Narrow pedestrian walkways.
  - Limited parking.
- One Way Option
  - Provides wider pedestrian corridors and beach drop-offs.
  - The wider area reinforces the aesthetic village streetscape.

- The wider scale, planting edge, brick walls, and lighting creates a street calming effect.
  - Open views from both restaurants.
  - Selective screening.
- One Way – Angled Parking Option
    - Provides wider pedestrian corridors and beach drop-offs.
    - Reinforces an aesthetic village streetscape.
    - Street calming design.
    - Will provide more parking but will require one-way traffic.
    - Handicap-accessible parking is more convenient in front of the restaurants.
    - Selective screening.
- Church Street Corridor Study
    - Improved site line in both directions.
    - Village garden aesthetic
    - Integral to streetscape.
    - Areas for brief respite.
    - Buffers adjacent service areas.
    - Opportunity for historical interpretation.
- Potential Funding Sources
    - VDOT may have grants available, including the Federal Lands Access Program (FLAP), Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP21), and Smart Scale Program
    - Virginia Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) offers Community Development Block Grants
    - Department of Conservation Resources offers the Land and Water Conservation Fund, Virginia Recreational Trails Program, Trails Access Grants, and Special Purpose Funding
    - Land Conservation Loan Program offers Clean Water Financing and Assistance, and Virginia Clean Water Revolving Loan Fund
    - Virginia Outdoors Foundation Grant

Mr. Webster noted that the top two sources are the most likely to provide the financial assistance the County needs to complete this project.

During the presentation, Mr. Morgan stated that it would be a balancing act between improving aesthetics and pedestrian safety.

Mr. Green stated that the current road is 12-foot and asked what a standard VDOT road measures.

In response, Mr. Webster stated that 12 feet is the measurement of a standard VDOT roadway, and Water Street is currently 24 feet for two-way traffic.

Mr. Roane asked what size car was envisioned when the parking options were being considered.

Mr. Webster stated in each option a standard vehicle, 9 foot by 18 foot, parking space was used.

Mr. Morgan mentioned in Scenario 3 we basically have to figure out how to overcome property lines because it involves public land, hotel land, and land owned by Mr. Granger.

Since Delegate A.C. Cordoza was in the audience, Mr. Green asked him if he was aware of any available grants that could help mitigate some of the expenses involved in renovating this area.

Mr. Webster stated that only a small portion of Scenario 3 is owned by property owners other than the public.

Mr. Green asked if we would need to take any property to make one of these scenarios a reality.

March 7, 2023

Mr. Webster stated that he could not answer that question; however, most of the comments from adjacent property owners have been positive.

Chairman Shepperd asked that each Supervisor provide input on the potential scenarios provided by Mr. Webster.

Mr. Zaremba noted that Yorktown has large cruise ships that dock here periodically, and he asked how that would impact the various scenarios that Mr. Webster just shared with them.

Mr. Webster stated that it would impact the scenarios as it would bring in more tourists who would be utilizing the proposed improvements, which should enhance their visit and offer a safer experience.

Mr. Green had no additional comments.

Mr. Roane stated that it is paramount that whatever we choose to do that we maintain the existing character of the Yorktown Pub as it is today and ensure the buildings should blend well together.

Mrs. Noll had no additional comments.

Chairman Shepperd stated that some of his fellow Board members would remember how many years, how many meetings, and public input it took to properly develop the Riverwalk Restaurant and waterfront area. He pointed out that sufficient parking will always be a problem at the waterfront. However, he reiterated that the main reason we are discussing this matter now is that something has to be done with the tents currently erected at the Yorktown Pub and Larry's Lemonade, and input from the property owners and citizens will be very important in the process.

Mr. Morgan stated that he would like to go back and discuss the options with interested residents and the subject businesses and collaborate with them to come back to the Board with some revisions that reflect some of the different options presented this evening.

In conclusion, Chairman Shepperd thanked staff and Mr. Webster for the presentation and asked that it be made public on the website.

**CONSENT CALENDAR**

The Consent Calendar was considered and **approved on a vote of 5:0**. A summary of actions taken is below.

Chairman Shepperd asked if a Board member would like to pull any items, ask for clarification or offer comments on any of the consent items. Since there was none, he called for a motion.

Mrs. Noll moved that the Consent Calendar be approved as submitted, Item Nos. 3, 4, and 5, respectively.

On roll call the vote was:

Yea: (5) Zaremba, Noll, Green, Roane, Shepperd  
Nay: (0)

**Item No. 3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Thereupon, the following minutes were approved and resolutions adopted:

January 27, 2023, Adjourned Meeting  
February 7, 2023, Regular Meeting

**Item No. 4. REQUEST FOR REFUND OF REAL PROPERTY TAXES FOR A DISABLED VETERAN: Resolution R23-42.**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE A TAX REFUND TO AN INDIVIDUAL ELIGIBLE FOR REAL PROPERTY TAX RELIEF PURSUANT TO CODE OF VIRGINIA § 58.1-3219.5, TAX RELIEF FOR DISABLED VETERANS, FOR THE TAX YEARS 2020-2022

Item No. 5. REQUEST FOR REFUND OF REAL PROPERTY TAXES – CVI WILLIAMSBURG, LLC; C/O THE WVS COMPANIES, LLC: Resolution R23-43.

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE A REAL ESTATE TAX REFUND TO CVI WILLIAMSBURG, LLC; CO/O THE WVS COMPANIES, LLC FOR TAX YEAR 2022

### **NEW BUSINESS**

This New Business item was considered and **approved on a vote of 5:0**. A summary of actions taken is below.

Item No. 6. PURCHASE RESOLUTION: SEWER PUMPS – HICKORY HILLS PUMP STATION: Resolution R23-38.

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH SHERWOOD-LOGAN AND ASSOCIATES FOR SEWER PUMPS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$202,925

Mr. Morgan explained that the County is nearing the end of the design of the Hickory Hills Pump Station Rehabilitation, and since there is such a long lead time in securing sewer pumps, Public Works is seeking Board approval to purchase the pumps so that there will not be a delay in completing this project.

Chairman Shepperd asked the Board if they had any comments or questions. Seeing none, he asked for a motion.

Mr. Green then moved the adoption of Resolution R23-38.

On a roll call the vote was:

Yea: (5) Noll, Green, Roane, Zaremba, Shepperd  
Nay: (0)

This New Business item was considered and **approved on a vote of 5:0**. A summary of actions taken is below.

Item No. 7. PURCHASE RESOLUTION: LAW ENFORCEMENT BUILDING FIT-UP ITEMS: Resolution R23-44.

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO AUTHORIZE THE PURCHASE OF AUDIO VIDEO EQUIPMENT FROM EPITOME NETWORKS/JMS GROUP; NETWORK INFRASTRUCTURE FROM E-PLUS TECHNOLOGY, INC; EVIDENCE COLLECTION AND STORAGE FROM SPACE SAVER STORAGE SOLUTIONS; TRAINING AND DEPUTY SUPPORT, VIRTRA REALITY ROOM FROM VIRTRA; TECHNOLOGY ADVANCEMENT/CCTV HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE; AND TECHNOLOGY ADVANCEMENT/ACCESS CONTROL SYSTEM FROM BFPE; ALL OF WHICH ARE NECESSARY FOR THE NEW LAW ENFORCEMENT BUILDING

Mr. Morgan stated that this purchase request includes all of the remaining items needed to fully equip the new Law Enforcement Building. He noted that he is very proud of all the work that has been done thus far on this facility and that the senior staff has worked diligently to get us to this point. He pointed out that those outstanding items that need to be purchased include:

March 7, 2023

audio/visual equipment, network infrastructure, evidence collection and storage, virtual training, the CCTV upgrade, and all of the required fire alarm and security items. He noted the urgency of procuring these items since it will likely take a year to receive them.

Chairman Shepperd asked if there were any comments and/or questions on this item.

Mr. Roane stated that he wanted to give the Director of Information Technology an opportunity to provide an overview of the building's network infrastructure. He also thanked Sheriff Montgomery for providing him with a better understanding of the needed items listed in the agenda memorandum and how each would be used. He expressed appreciation to Mr. Wyatt for future-proofing the purchases so that in a few years, we will not be replacing or buying new equipment and also applauded staff for all their hard work to cut costs.

Mr. Timothy Wyatt, Director of Information Technology, explained that with all of the equipment, there are multiple redundancies, so there is no single point of failure. He also noted that we are not purchasing all new equipment but are using as much existing equipment as possible. He stated that the meeting rooms, especially the community meeting room, will have top-of-the-line technology that citizens can use. He stated that we are using Cisco Systems for the wireless and the switches, and since we already have an enterprise agreement with them, it will save us money to continue using them.

Mr. Zaremba then moved the adoption of Resolution R23-44.

On a roll call the vote was:

Yea: (5) Green, Roane, Zaremba, Noll, Shepperd  
Nay: (0)

This New Business item was considered and **approved on a vote of 5:0**. A summary of actions taken is below.

Item No. 8. COUNTY PARTICIPATION IN NEW OPIOID-RELATED SETTLEMENTS: Resolution R23-39.

A RESOLUTION TO APPROVE YORK COUNTY'S PARTICIPATION IN THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF OPIOID-RELATED CLAIMS AGAINST TEVA, ALLERGAN, WALMART, WALGREENS, CVS, AND THEIR RELATED CORPORATE ENTITIES, AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY ATTORNEY OR THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO EXECUTE THE DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THE COUNTY'S PARTICIPATION IN THE SETTLEMENTS

Mr. Morgan stated that almost everyone has heard about the opioid settlements that various states' attorney generals are currently processing. The County Attorney's office has advised us that a Board approved resolution is necessary to ensure the County's designation from the proceeds of the various lawsuits of the different pharmaceutical companies. However, he noted that the money comes with stipulations attached since the funds received must be used for drug dependency items/systems.

Chairman Shepperd then opened the floor for Board discussion of the application.

Mr. Green asked how much money the County could expect from these lawsuits.

Mr. Morgan stated that it appears over the next 15 years we will receive approximately \$700,000.

Mr. Roane then moved the adoption of Resolution R23-39.

On a roll call the vote was:

Yea: (5) Roane, Zaremba, Noll, Green, Shepperd  
Nay: (0)



**OPEN DISCUSSION**

Mrs. Noll stated that there is a lovely lady in her district named Ms. Mary Bayless, who is 96 years old today. She stated that if either she or her son is watching this meeting to please know that everyone wishes her a very Happy Birthday.

Mr. Zaremba moved that the Board direct the County Administrator to, as soon as possible, have a feasibility study performed, the object of which is to evaluate the cost-effectiveness of moving the Tranquility heavy construction traffic off of Springfield Road onto Hawks Nest Road.

Chairman Shepperd stated that the Board must follow specific rules in such situations, and if Mr. Zaremba wants to make a motion, it would have to occur at a subsequent meeting. He stated the Board can ask the County Administrator to look into this matter and have the County Attorney examine the rules of procedures to ensure we are proceeding appropriately in this matter. As such, he stated that the Board will have to hold his motion in abeyance for now. He also noted that this matter will likely require an advertised public hearing before it can be considered by the Board.

Mr. Green also asked the County Administrator to move forward with this matter so that it can be considered by the Board as soon as possible.

Mr. Morgan stated that he would be happy to pursue this; however, he did caution the Board and the public that there is not an easy, simple, or inexpensive solution and that the biggest issue will be time.

Mr. Richard Hill, County Attorney Designee, stated that a motion requiring the expenditure of money could now be made at this meeting because it would require an advertised public hearing.

Mr. Morgan stated that he could have the initial work performed in this matter under the dollar limit within his authority. Then he added that staff might come back with additional items, which will have to be formally considered by the Board.

Mr. Hill concurred with Mr. Morgan's suggestion on how to properly move forward on this issue.

Mr. Green asked what safeguards the County is using to ensure safe drinking water for our residents.

Chairman Shepperd stated that was another larger issue that perhaps the County Administrator could look into and report back to us at a future date.

Mr. Morgan stated that the sheer volume of water under Newport News Waterworks' control is one of its best safeguards; however, there is more to report on this matter if the Board so desires.

Chairman Shepperd agreed that the huge amount of water in our area even helps to protect us from sewage spills, although we do work hard to prevent such catastrophes from occurring.

Mr. Roane expressed appreciation to Mr. Richard Sutton, Chief of Operations, who had met with him on two separate occasions at Springfield Road to look for possible options to take the construction traffic off of Springfield road. He voiced support for performing a traffic magnitude study to determine how to alleviate this issue.

Mr. Morgan stated that he and the staff have been working on a report on the Springfield Road situation, and it should be completed in approximately 10 days. He noted that it would not be a final report, but rather it will provide some perspective on this issue. In addition, he stated that he had contacted Henderson, Inc., the Tranquility contractor, and they are aware of the situation and promised to get back to him with some suggestions as to what they can do to help. He stated that this information will also be incorporated into the forthcoming report.

Chairman Shepperd stated that he wanted to take this opportunity to congratulate Deputy Fire Chief, Alan W. Baldwin, for becoming the 74<sup>th</sup> president of the Virginia Fire Chiefs' Association. He added that this is the fourth member of the York County Department of Fire and Life Safety that has ascended to this prestigious statewide leadership position.

March 7, 2023

Meeting Adjourned. At 7:51 p.m. Chairman Shepperd declared the meeting adjourned sine die/to 6:00 p.m., Tuesday, March 21, 2023, in the Board Room of York Hall.



Neil A. Morgan  
County Administrator



Thomas G. Shepperd, Jr., Chairman  
York County Board of Supervisors